

IMPACT OF DRY NEEDLING IN PATIENTS WITH MUSCULOSKELETAL ACHE—A UMBRELLA OVERVIEW

Prof. Dr. Deepak N Krishnan¹ & Prof Dr. Vijay.P²

¹Ph.D. P.T., M.P.T, Department of Sports Physiotherapy, Motiwala university of Physiotherapy, Nashik,
Maharashtra, India

²Ph.D, P.T. M.P.T, Essential Shree Devi College of Physiotherapy, Mangalore, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT

The systematic overview (SR) regarding the results of Dry Needling (DN) has advanced sufficient. proof suggest that the effect of this approach is still required to improvize. The aim of this systematic review is to short the evidence the results of trigger factor DN on sports activities accidents throughout all frame areas. PubMed, internet of science and Embase had been searched to discover SRs inspecting the effect of DN in comparison to a bodily remedy (PT) intervention threat became assessed with the AMSTAR-2 device. amount of the overlap in primary research became calculated using the corrected blanketed region. Out of 2286 consequences, of which 36 SRs have been covered on this evaluate. average, DN is advanced and equally powerful to different interventions for to reduce pain at brief-time period. outcomes indicates physical function results were contradiction across body regions. DN has a analgesic effect in all frame areas and offers additional value to the interventions which can be used to this point additionally in scientific exercise. numerous studies have shown an extra remedy impact while combining DN to physiotherapeutic interventions in comparison to different interventions. there's a full-size requirement for the standardization of DN protocols to address the problem and to strengthen the contemporary evidence.

2. KEYWORDS: Trigger Point Needling, Umbrella Assessment, Impairment, Musculoskeletal Injury

Article History

Received: 22 Jun 2024 | Revised: 24 Jun 2024 | Accepted: 30 Jun 2024

3 Abbreviations DN, TRP, PF, MD

INTRODUCTION

4. Creation (level 1 heading)Musculoskeletal (MSK) injury are accepted in the patients and are associated with long-time period harm and impairment [1,2,3]. Dry needling (DN) has emerge as very famous inside the control of MSK damage and related impairment, both as a character remedy or in mixture with other physiotherapeutic interventions. Dry needling is a remedy method which is maximal powerful, fee-effective, easy to research with appropriate schooling, and includes a low danger of headaches [4]. The effect of this novel remedy approach remains under dialogue and effects range widely over the posted literature [5].

The high-quality supported DN method objectives myofascial trigger points (MTrPs) and pursuits to improve peripheral and central physiological and clinical outcomes [5,6,7,8]. amongst patients with acute and persistent MSK

injuries, myofascial pain syndrome is frequently gift and is described as one of the underlying reasons of the disorder [9,10,11]. it's far characterised by means of sensory, motor, and autonomic signs due to MTrPs. numerous scientific consequences had been defined for DN, of which the analgesic impact is the excellent final results. other cautioned scientific outcomes consist of improvements in physical feature, such as impairment, variety of movement or muscle electricity [5,6,8].

The quantity of systematic critiques (SRs) with or without meta-analyses (MAs) summarizing the literature concerning the clinical results of DN has progressed alot. Systematic critiques are on the pinnacle of the evidence pyramid, and healthcare decision makers rely upon systematic opinions as one of the key equipment for attaining evidence-based totally healthcare [12]. consequently, an umbrella overview (UR) lets in the comparison of findings of numerous SRs, considering for inclusion best the highest level of proof [13].

Consequently, this UR ambitions to synthetize all posted SRs on trigger factor DN effect in sufferers with myofascial ache across numerous MSK conditions. substances And strategies This UR turned into registered in PROSPERO (CRD42022330512) and observed the favored Reporting objects for Systematic evaluations and Meta-Analyses PRISMA-2020 guidelines and the Joanna Briggs Institute technique for URs [13,14].

2.1. Inclusion Standards

Systematic assessment with meta-analyses had been eligible if they met the following criteria: inclusion of (P) participants with acute to persistent MSK ache disorders, age 18–65 years; (I) receiving as a minimum one DN session (as a stand-on my own intervention or combined with every other remedy modality [e.g., therapeutic exercise]); (C) in comparison to sham/placebo, no intervention or other (active or passive) interventions (e.g., manual remedy (MT), workout remedy, WN etc.); (O) with at the least one clinical outcome in the domain of ache or bodily functioning(e.g., active and passive range of motion, electricity, characteristic, impairment, first-class of life or each day life activity) [15,16]. extra statistics approximately the eligibility standards can be discovered in Appendix A.

2.2. Resources and Strategy

The reference lists of the protected SRs and trial registries were hand-searched to discover additional studies no longer identified thru digital searches.

2.3. Choice Analysis

The studies identified at some stage in the hand search had been assessed for eligibility with the aid of independent reviewers using a 2-stage technique. First, all identified facts were screened based totally on identify and abstract. Secondly, the overall textual content of the remaining articles changed into assessed for eligibility.

2.4. Data Series

The facts was extracted from the articles through two special reviewers and checked by way of a 3rd reviewer. The extracted facts blanketed (i) creator, booklet 12 months, united states of america and SR layout (SR and/or MA); (ii) statistics on the study sample (consisting of quantity and booklet years of RCTs, and number of patients in step with treatment arm); (iii) handled body vicinity(s); (iv) details of the interventions (i.e., DN and comparator); (v) final results(s) (i.e., size device and observe-up); (vi) consequences; (vii) damaging activities and (viii) comments. If the observe-up duration turned into now not distinct within the SR, the variety of follow-up durations used within the included RCTs became supplied [17].

2.6. Information Analysis

The diploma in primary studies is protected in SRs became calculated the use of an appropriate blanketed vicinity (CCA) to generate a citation matrix [19,20]. To symbolize needling vicinity, CCA calculations for pairs of reviews were accomplished. Threshold are used to interpret of measured overlap (zero–five%—moderate, 6–10%—slight, eleven–15%—high, >15%—very excessive) [19]. The effects are decided on from a SRs according to a precise decision rule and published set of rules [21]. when greater SRs said records for the identical final results had been published in the equal year, the evaluate includes the finest quantity of primary studies are decided on [19,21,22,23,24,25].

A sturdy advice became made whilst 50% of SRs don't forget a specific topic have at the least moderate-degree evidence, with as a minimum one review having high-level evidence. A moderate advice became made while as a minimum 50% of the reviews have moderate-degree proof. [26]

5. Effects

6. 3.1. Take a look at Layout

The database seek ended in 2286 SRs, of which 1699 SRs remained after reproduction removal. After the primary screening of titles and abstracts, 66 SRs have been retrieved for full text screening.

3.4. Synthesis

11 SRs, including 102 specific RCTs, tested the consequences of DN on numerous specific areas [28,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40]. due to very high overlap (see Appendix A: pairs of reviews) and the very poor methodological nice of a number of the protected articles, nine SRs [28,31,32,33,34,35,36,38,39] were taken into consideration whilst summarizing these consequences.

Pain Modulation

DN in comparison to sham/placebo DN become proven to be advanced for quick-term pain discount [31,32,34,35,36,38]. there's low-exceptional proof suggesting a moderate impact favoring DN over manage/sham without delay to twelve-weeks put up-intervention. there is slight-excellent evidence suggesting a small impact favoring DN over control/sham within the long-time period (6–12 months follow-up) [35].

DN as compared to different interventions: two MAs observed mild nice evidence suggesting small [35] to moderate [34] consequences favoring DN over different interventions within the quick-term (instantaneous to twelve-weeks) [35]. DN changed into at the least similarly effective as guide MTrP release and other needling treatments [34]. DN in aggregate with different cures turned into greater powerful than making use of the alternative remedies on my own at quick-time period [38]. One massive SR (42 RCTs, N = 3967) determined low first-rate evidence for a massive effect of DN (without or with other treatments) as compared to other treatments straight away, at mid- and at lengthy-term for lowering pain [38]. A lower in pain intensity at long-time period (thirteen–24 weeks) became observed whilst studying DN towards different cures or when comparing DN + different healing procedures with those remedies on my own. SRs discovered similar results for DN in comparison to WN [36,39]. Cummings et al. discovered that DN and WN are equally effective for pain depth discount, and the comply with-up became not targeted [33].

Physical Functioning

DN as compared to sham/placebo: There is ideal-excellent evidence suggesting a long effect of DN for adjustments in functional effects at on the spot (right now—12 weeks) and long-time period (6–three hundred and sixty five days) observe-up [35]. DN is effective for enhancing high-quality of life and range of movement in the neck and shoulder as compared to sham/placebo at long-time period [34]. [31,34,36] evaluated changes in range of motion, and the outcomes indicates effective.

DN in comparison to different interventions: DN is equally as powerful as other interventions (MT, WN or pharmacological interventions) for enhancements in range of motion, incapacity and exceptional of existence [32,34].

3.4. 2. Upper zone

Temporomandibular Dysfunctions three SRs discussing DN inside the temporomandibular joint area (TMJ) have been blanketed [41,48,55]. The results ought to be interpreted with caution due to the very high quantity of overlap among SRs and MAs.

Headache One high fine SR with MA confirmed that DN could significantly enhance headache frequency, fitness-associated excellent of existence, trigger factor tenderness, and cervical ROM in a anxiety kind headache (TTH) and cervicogenic headache (CGH). DN produced comparable consequences to other interventions for brief-time period headache pain relief but regarded higher than other treatments for development in related incapacity in the brief-term [53].

Neck This UR included 9 SRs that studied DN inside the neck [43,44,45,46,47,49,51,52,54]. based totally on the quantity of overlap and the methodological first-rate of the opinions, six research have been withheld to jot down these outcomes [43,44,45,46,49,51].

Pain Intensity

DN in comparison to sham/placebo: DN produced an analgesic effect immediately after remedy and at quick-time period [45, 49].

Physical Functioning

DN compared to sham/placebo: SRs determined extensive effects of DN over sham/placebo interventions [43,49]. DN as compared to different interventions: For decreasing incapacity or improving functionality, most evaluations found comparable effects of DN in comparison to other interventions (MT, WN, other PT interventions) inside the quick and lengthy-time period [43,46,49,51]. DN may be of delivered price in improving disability inside the brief-term, seeing that effects of the blended interventions have been better than the interventions as a stand-on my own remedy [44]. 4 SRs evaluated changes in variety of motion [43,44,49,51]. Shoulder • pain depth DN as compared to sham/placebo: MAs found statistically extensive results of DN as compared to sham at short-time period; those outcomes had been showed within the mid-term [47,50]. DN compared to different interventions: mild to low-fine proof suggests that the effective (small) consequences of DN in non-annoying shoulder pain of MSK beginning at brief-term 50 42 forty two 50 Elbow compared to different interventions: One SR studied the clinical consequences of DN sufferers with lateral epicondylalgia [30]. DN reduced ache depth and related-disability with large impact sizes in comparison to a comparative institution at quick- and long-term. there has been also an growth in grip energy (small length effect) at brief-time period. three.four.3. lower zone Khan et al. [58] and Morihisa et al. [61] blanketed 16 RCTs evaluating DN within the decrease quadrant. both reviews

concluded that DN changed into an powerful intervention for decreasing ache related to lower quarter MTrPs at brief-term. RCTs evaluating DN with sham or placebo confirmed marked improvements in ache; while evaluating DN with other healing modalities or MT, the effects had comparable outcomes for ache reduction [58]. Low lower back This UR included studies with a very excessive percentage of overlap (CCA = sixty eight.seventy five%) [57,59].

Ache Intensity

Compared to sham/placebo: each reviews observed DN to be effective for ache depth immediately post-intervention while compared to SN. The outcomes were maintained at observe-up (now not distinct) [57]. in comparison to other interventions: each evaluations found DN to be powerful right away publish-intervention whilst as compared to acupuncture. •

Physical Functioning as compared to sham/placebo: each opinions discovered DN to be advanced for incapacity immediately publish-intervention while compared to SN. At follow-up, no tremendous variations had been discovered. compared to other interventions: both critiques located DN to be advanced for disability right away post-intervention when as compared to acupuncture. At observe-up, no statistically extensive variations had been determined.

Knee handiest 2 studies on knee ache have been located [62,63]. due to the amount of overlap (11.seventy six%), the (excessive) methodological nice and the limited quantity of research approximately DN within the assessment of Ughreja et al., only one MA [62] can be discussed. Rahou-El-Bachiri et al. observed a massive mild effect size for decreasing pain intensity and incapacity within the brief-time period. 62

Heel two studies which include thirteen RCTs (with high overlap; CCA = 18.18%) tested the effectiveness of DN for plantar heel ache or plantar fasciitis [56,60]. both studies observed that DN considerably improves the pain depth whilst compared to the assessment organization (mix of comparators: sham/different interventions) at short- and lengthy-time period.

7. DISCUSSION

To the quality of our information, that is the first UR evaluating the scientific effects of DN in patients with MSK pain. The present day proof show that DN is an effective remedy for MTrP-precipitated pain for brief-term ache relief. (which include different needling strategies, MT, or exercise/PT). The cutting-edge evidence suggests that DN is effective than intervention/sham/placebo strategies for enhancements in pain depth in taut fibres present. Murillo et al. targeted a DN intervention to the Obliquus Capitis Inferior muscle discovered an instantaneous and lengthy-time period clinically significant boom in higher cervical mobility at the C1C2-stage compared to SN [64]. DN is similarly effective to different interventions. since DN mainly specializes in restoring characteristic by means of increasing blood flow and improvise the integrity of a practical motor endplate [6], As rehabilitation programs development, restoring muscle feature (motor manage), energy and mobility by means of exercising becomes greater powerful [65,66]. For continual ache, numerous peripheral and significant neurophysiological results were described as properly [6,7,8,67] and needling techniques have these days been added to the remedy pointers of (chronic) neck pain and occasional back pain [68,69,70]. because muscle inhibition and motor manipulate deficits can be gift at some stage in rehabilitation because of acute or persistent ache [71], it is able to be of hobby to assess the cost of DN in a multimodal treatment application, even as tracking the adjustments in motor overall performance (sensorimotor control and power) and muscle homes together with excitability, contractibility, extensibility and elasticity. broadly seemed because the acceptability of scientific trial results, and trial effects are

elaborated while blinding strategies are suboptimal [72]. two Delphi studies had been carried out to evaluate the maximum crucial factors of shams for DN research [73]. Sham credibility can be maintained using cognitive techniques, probably relinquishing the want for indistinguishable shams that have proved difficult to layout [74]. moreover, preceding studies with DN should be taken into consideration whilst comparing the effectiveness of sham tactics [75]. Braithwaite et al., demonstrated most fulfilling therapist blinding, and near-most beneficial recipient blinding, make it feasible to double-blind dry needling trials (with the caveat that confined needling techniques can be used with the needle devices) [72].

4.2. Power

This UR used sturdy methodological procedures primarily based on the maximum latest posted proof, as defined with the aid of the Joanna Briggs Institute and consisting of the usage of AMSTAR and PRISMA equipment [13,14,18].

4.3. Clinical Issues

DN is a safe and powerful approach. No negative activities had been present in the 210 specific RCTs, demonstrating that it's far a safe intervention when carried out by using a skilled physiotherapist. thinking about the quantity of treatments important for quick-term consequences, Llurda-Almuzara et al. stated that minimum of three periods had been essential for treatment results [60]. Sánchez-Infante et al. confirmed that one consultation consistent with week proved effective inside a 1- to 3-week time period [38]. The results are in choose of mixed remedies, and DN may also decorate treatment efficiency for brief-time period pain relief.

8. End

There is powerful evidence that DN decreases ache throughout particular place at brief-time period evaluation. The present day proof suggests that DN is powerful than to no intervention/sham/placebo for improvements in ache depth. There is a sizable need for standardization of DN protocols to cope with to bolster the current evidence.

9. Battle of Interest

NO any war of interest exists.

10. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Walker-Bone k., Palmer k.T., analyzing I., Coggon D., Cooper C. occurrence and impact of musculoskeletal issues of the upper limb within the wellknown population. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2004;51:642–651. doi: 10.1002/artwork.20535. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
2. Malik ok.M., Beckerly R., Imani F. Musculoskeletal problems a conventional source of ache and disability Misunderstood and Mismanged: A important evaluation primarily based at the U.S. model of Care. *Anesth. ache Med.* 2018;8:e85532. doi: 10.5812/aapm.85532. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
3. Govaerts R., Tassignon B., Ghillebert J., Serrien B., De Bock S., Ampe T., El Makrini I., Vanderborgh B., Meeusen R., De Pauw k. occurrence and occurrence of labor-related musculoskeletal issues in secondary industries of 21st century Europe: a systematic evaluation and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 2021;22:751. doi: 10.1186/s12891-021-04615-nine. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
4. Kalichman L., Vulfsons S. Dry needling inside the control of musculoskeletal pain. *J. Am. Board Fam. Med.* 2010;23:640–646. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2010.05.090296. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

5. Dommerholt J., Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C., Petersen S.M. Needling: Is there a factor? *J. man. Manip. Ther.* 2019;27:a hundred twenty five–127. doi: 10.1080/10669817.2019.1620049. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
6. Cagnie B., Dewitte V., Barbe T., Timmermans F., Delrue N., Meeus M. Physiologic consequences of dry needling. *Curr. ache Headache Rep.* 2013;17:348. doi: 10.1007/s11916-013-0348-five. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
7. Fernández-de-las-Peñas C., Dommerholt J. Myofascial cause factors: Peripheral or significant phenomenon? *Curr. Rheumatol. Rep.* 2014;sixteen:395. doi: 10.1007/s11926-013-0395-2. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
8. Dommerholt J. Dry needling—Peripheral and critical considerations. *J. guy. Manip. Ther.* 2011;19:223–227. doi: 10.1179/106698111X13129729552065. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
9. Meleger A.L., Krivickas L.S. Neck and lower back ache: Musculoskeletal issues. *Neurol. Clin.* 2007;25:419–438. doi: 10.1016/j.ncl.2007.01.006. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
10. Gerwin R.D. classification, epidemiology, and natural records of myofascial ache syndrome. *Curr. ache Headache Rep.* 2001;five:412–420. doi: 10.1007/s11916-001-0052-eight. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
11. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C., Simons D., Cuadrado M.L., Pareja J. The function of myofascial cause factors in musculoskeletal ache syndromes of the top and neck. *Curr. ache Headache Rep.* 2007;11:365–372. doi: 10.1007/s11916-007-0219-z. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
12. Bero L.A., Grilli R., Grimshaw J.M., Harvey E., Oxman A.D., Thomson M.A. final the distance among research and exercise: an outline of systematic reviews of interventions to sell the implementation of studies findings. *The Cochrane effective exercise and organization of Care overview institution. BMJ.* 1998;317:465–468. doi: 10.1136/bmj.317.7156.465. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
13. Aromataris E., Fernandez R., Godfrey C.M., Holly C., Khalil H., Tungpunkom P. Summarizing systematic reviews: Methodological improvement, conduct and reporting of an umbrella review approach. *Int. J. Evid. based Healthc.* 2015;thirteen:132–a hundred and forty. doi: 10.1097/XEB.0000000000000055. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
14. web page M.J., McKenzie J.E., Bossuyt P.M., Boutron I., Hoffmann T.C., Mulrow C.D., Shamseer L., Tetzlaff J.M., Akl E.A., Brennan S.E., et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated tenet for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ.* 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
15. Turk D.C., Dworkin R.H., Allen R.R., Bellamy N., Brandenburg N., Carr D.B., Cleeland C., Dionne R., Farrar J.T., Galer B.S., et al. center final results domain names for persistent ache medical trials: IMMPACT hints. *ache.* 2003;106:337–345. doi: 10.1016/j.ache.2003.08.001. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

16. Taylor A.M., Phillips ok., Patel ok.V., Turk D.C., Dworkin R.H., Beaton D., Clauw D.J., Gignac M.A.M., Markman J.D., Williams D.A., et al. assessment of physical function and participation in chronic pain medical trials: IMMPACT/OMERACT recommendations. *ache.* 2016;157:1836–1850. doi: 10.1097/j.ache.0000000000000577. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
17. Moher D., Liberati A., Tetzlaff J., Altman D.G. desired reporting gadgets for systematic opinions and meta-analyses: The PRISMA assertion. *PLoS Med.* 2009;6:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
18. Shea B.J., Reeves B.C., Wells G., Thuku M., Hamel C., Moran J., Moher D., Tugwell P., Welch V., Kristjansson E., et al. AMSTAR 2: A crucial appraisal tool for systematic evaluations that consist of randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *BMJ.* 2017;358:j4008. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j4008. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
19. Lunny C., Pieper D., Thabet P., Kanji S. coping with overlap of primary have a look at consequences throughout systematic evaluations: sensible concerns for authors of overviews of reviews. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* 2021;21:a hundred and forty. doi: 10.1186/s12874-021-01269-y. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
20. Pieper D., Antoine S.L., Mathes T., Neugebauer E.A., Eikermann M. Systematic review unearths overlapping reviews had been now not cited in every different overview. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 2014;sixty seven:368–375. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.eleven.007. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
21. Jadad A.R., prepare dinner D.J., Browman G.P. A guide to deciphering discordant systematic critiques. *Can. Med. Assoc. J.* 1997;156:1411–1416. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
22. Lunny C., Brennan S.E., McDonald S., McKenzie J.E. towards a comprehensive proof map of review of systematic evaluate methods: Paper 2-risk of bias evaluation; synthesis, presentation and summary of the findings; and assessment of the understanding of the proof. *Syst. Rev.* 2018;7:159. doi: 10.1186/s13643-018-0784-8. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
23. Pollock A., Campbell P., Brunton G., Hunt H., Estcourt L. selecting and implementing evaluate strategies: Implications from 5 exemplar overviews. *Syst. Rev.* 2017;6:a hundred forty five. doi: 10.1186/s13643-017-0534-three. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
24. Pollock M., Fernandes R.M., Newton A.S., Scott S.D., Hartling L. A selection tool to assist researchers make decisions approximately along with systematic evaluations in overviews of opinions of healthcare interventions. *Syst. Rev.* 2019;8:29. doi: 10.1186/s13643-018-0768-8. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
25. Hennessy E.A., Johnson B.T., Keenan C. best practice pointers and essential Methodological Steps to behavior Rigorous and Systematic Meta-opinions. *Appl. Psychol. fitness nicely Being.* 2019;eleven:353–381. doi: 10.1111/aphw.12169. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

26. Pieters L., Lewis J., Kuppens okay., Jochems J., Bruijstens T., Joossens L., Struyf F. An replace of Systematic critiques examining the Effectiveness of Conservative bodily remedy Interventions for Subacromial Shoulder pain. *J. Orthop. sports Phys. Ther.* 2020;50:131–141. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2020.8498. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
27. Almeida M.O., Yamato T.P., Parreira P., Costa L.O.P., Kamper S., Saragiotto B.T. universal confidence in the effects of systematic critiques on workout remedy for continual low returned ache: A go-sectional evaluation using the Assessing the Methodological high-quality of Systematic opinions (AMSTAR) 2 tool. *Braz. J. Phys. Ther.* 2020;24:103–117. doi: 10.1016/j.bjpt.2019.04.004. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
28. Mansfield C.J., Vanetten L., Willy R., di Stasi S., Magnussen R., Briggs M. The effects of Needling treatment plans on Muscle pressure manufacturing: a scientific assessment and Meta-evaluation. *J. Orthop. sports activities Phys. Ther.* 2019;forty nine:154–one hundred seventy. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2019.8270. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
29. hall M.L., Mackie A.C., Ribeiro D.C. results of dry needling trigger factor remedy within the shoulder place on patients with higher extremity pain and dysfunction: a systematic review with meta-evaluation. *Physiotherapy.* 2018;104:167–177. doi: 10.1016/j.physio.2017.08.001. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
30. Navarro-Santana M.J., Sanchez-Infante J., Gómez-Chiguano G.F., Cleland J.A., López-de-Uralde-Villanueva I., Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C., Plaza-Manzano G. results of trigger point dry needling on lateral epicondylalgia of musculoskeletal beginning: a systematic evaluate and meta-analysis. *Clin. Rehabil.* 2020;34:1327–1340. doi: 10.1177/0269215520937468. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
31. Boyles R., Fowler R., Ramsey D., Burrows E. Effectiveness of trigger factor dry needling for more than one frame areas: a scientific overview. *J. man. Manip. Ther.* 2015;23:276–293. doi: 10.1179/2042618615Y.0000000014. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
32. Charles D., Hudgins T., MacNaughton J., Newman E., Tan J., Wigger M. a systematic evaluate of guide remedy strategies, dry cupping and dry needling inside the reduction of myofascial ache and myofascial trigger points. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.* 2019;23:539–546. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2019.04.001. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
33. Cummings T.M., White A.R. Needling remedies in the control of myofascial trigger point pain: a scientific evaluate. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2001;eighty two:986–992. doi: 10.1053/apmr.2001.24023. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
34. Espejo-Antúnez L., Tejada J.F., Albornoz-Cabello M., Rodríguez-Mansilla J., de la Cruz-Torres B., Ribeiro F., Silva A.G. Dry needling within the management of myofascial cause points: a scientific evaluation of randomized controlled trials. *supplement. Ther. Med.* 2017;33:46–57. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2017.06.003. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
35. Gattie E., Cleland J.A., Snodgrass S. The Effectiveness of trigger point Dry Needling for Musculoskeletal conditions by using bodily Therapists: a scientific evaluate and Meta-evaluation. *J. Orthop. sports Phys. Ther.* 2017;forty seven:133–149. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2017.7096. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

36. Kim T.H., Lee C.R., Choi T.Y., Lee M.S. Intramuscular stimulation remedy for healthcare: a scientific evaluate of randomised controlled trials. *Acupunct. Med.* 2012;30:286–290. doi: 10.1136/acupmed-2012-010182. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
37. Rodríguez-Mansilla J., González-Sánchez B., De Toro García Á., Valera-Donoso E., Garrido-Ardila E.M., Jiménez-Palomares M., González López-Arza M.V. Effectiveness of dry needling on decreasing pain intensity in sufferers with myofascial pain syndrome: A Meta-evaluation. *J. Tradit. Chin. Med.* 2016;36:1–thirteen. doi: 10.1016/S0254-6272(sixteen)30001-2. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
38. Sánchez-Infante J., Navarro-Santana M.J., Bravo-Sánchez A., Jiménez-Díaz F., Abián-Vicén J. Is Dry Needling carried out via physical Therapists powerful for pain in Musculoskeletal conditions? a scientific evaluation and Meta-analysis. *Phys. Ther.* 2021;one hundred and one:pzab070. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzab070. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
39. Filho L.F.S., Santos M.M.B., Dos Santos G.H.F., da Silva Júnior W.M. Corticosteroid injection or dry needling for musculoskeletal ache and disability? a systematic overview and GRADE evidence synthesis. *Chiropr. man. Ther.* 2021;29:49. doi: 10.1186/s12998-021-00408-y. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
40. difficult E.A., White A.R., Cummings T.M., Richards S.H., Campbell J.L. Acupuncture and dry needling within the management of myofascial trigger point pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised managed trials. *Eur. J. ache.* 2009;thirteen:3–10. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpain.2008.02.006. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
41. Al-Moraissi E.A., Alradom J., Aladashi O., Goddard G., Christidis N. Needling cures in the management of myofascial pain of the masticatory muscular tissues: A community meta-evaluation of randomised medical trials. *J. Oral Rehabil.* 2020;forty seven:910–922. doi: 10.1111/joor.12960. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
42. Blanco-Díaz M., Ruiz-Redondo R., Escobio-Prieto I., de la Fuente-Costa M., Albornoz-Cabello M., Casana J. a scientific evaluation of the Effectiveness of Dry Needling in Subacromial Syndrome. *Biology.* 2022;11:243. doi: 10.3390/biology11020243. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
43. Cagnie B., Castelein B., Pollie F., Steelant L., Verhoeyen H., Cools A. evidence for the usage of Ischemic Compression and Dry Needling within the control of cause points of the top Trapezius in sufferers with Neck ache: a systematic evaluate. *Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2015;94:573–583. doi: 10.1097/PHM.0000000000000266. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
44. Fernández-De-Las-Peñas C., Plaza-Manzano G., Sanchez-Infante J., Gómez-Chiguano G.F., Cleland J.A., Arias-Buría J.L., López-De-Uralde-Villanueva I., Navarro-Santana M.J. Is Dry Needling effective while combined with different cures for Myofascial trigger factors associated with Neck pain signs? a systematic evaluation and Meta-evaluation. *pain Res. Manag.* 2021;2021:8836427. doi: 10.1155/2021/8836427. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
45. Kietrys D.M., Palombaro okay.M., Azzaretto E., Hubler R., Schaller B., Schlusser J.M., Tucker M. Effectiveness of dry needling for top-quarter myofascial pain: a systematic overview and meta-analysis. *J. Orthop. sports activities Phys. Ther.* 2013;forty three:620–634. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2013.4668. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google]

Scholar]

46. Lew J., Kim J., Nair P. assessment of dry needling and cause factor manual remedy in sufferers with neck and higher lower back myofascial ache syndrome: a systematic assessment and meta-evaluation. *J. man. Manip. Ther.* 2021;29:136–146. doi: 10.1080/10669817.2020.1822618. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
47. Liu L., Huang Q.M., Liu Q.G., Ye G., Bo C.Z., Chen M.J., Li P. Effectiveness of dry needling for myofascial cause points related to neck and shoulder ache: a systematic review and meta-evaluation. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2015;96:944–955. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2014.12.1/2. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
48. Machado E., Machado P., Wandscher V.F., Marchionatti A.M.E., Zanatta F.B., Kaizer O.B. a scientific assessment of different substance injection and dry needling for treatment of temporomandibular myofascial ache. *Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.* 2018;forty seven:1420–1432. doi: 10.1016/j.ijom.2018.05.003. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
49. Navarro-Santana M.J., Sanchez-Infante J., Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C., Cleland J.A., Martín-Casas P., Plaza-Manzano G. Effectiveness of Dry Needling for Myofascial trigger points associated with Neck pain signs and symptoms: An up to date Systematic evaluation and Meta-evaluation. *J. Clin. Med.* 2020;9:3300. doi: 10.3390/jcm9103300. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
50. Navarro-Santana M.J., Gómez-Chiguano G.F., Cleland J.A., Arias-Buría J.L., Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C., Plaza-Manzano G. consequences of trigger factor Dry Needling for Nontraumatic Shoulder pain of Musculoskeletal origin: a systematic assessment and Meta-evaluation. *Phys. Ther.* 2021;101:pzaa216. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzaa216. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
51. Navarro-Santana M.J., Sanchez-Infante J., Gómez-Chiguano G.F., Cleland J.A., Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C., Martín-Casas P., Plaza-Manzano G. Dry Needling versus cause factor Injection for Neck pain signs related to Myofascial trigger points: a systematic overview and Meta-analysis. *ache Med.* 2022;23:515–525. doi: 10.1093/pm/pnab188. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
52. Ong J., Claydon L.S. The impact of dry needling for myofascial cause points inside the neck and shoulders: a scientific assessment and meta-evaluation. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.* 2014;18:390–398. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2013.eleven.009. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
53. Pourahmadi M., Dommerholt J., Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C., Koes B.W., Mohseni-Bandpei M.A., Mansournia M.A., Delavari S., Keshtkar A., Bahramian M. Dry Needling for the remedy of anxiety-type, Cervicogenic, or Migraine headaches: a systematic assessment and Meta-analysis. *Phys. Ther.* 2021;one zero one:pzab068. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzab068. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
54. Rodríguez-Huguet M., Vinolo-Gil M.J., Góngora-Rodríguez J. Dry Needling in physical remedy treatment of chronic Neck ache: Systematic overview. *J. Clin. Med.* 2022;11:2370. doi: 10.3390/jcm11092370. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

55. Vier C., Almeida M.B., Neves M.L., Santos A., Bracht M.A. The effectiveness of dry needling for patients with orofacial pain related to temporomandibular disorder: a scientific overview and meta-analysis. *Braz. J. Phys. Ther.* 2019;23:three–11. doi: 10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.08.008. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
56. He C., Ma H. Effectiveness of trigger factor dry needling for plantar heel ache: A meta-analysis of seven randomized controlled trials. *J. pain Res.* 2017;10:1933–1942. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S141607. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
57. Hu H.T., Gao H., Ma R.J., Zhao X.F., Tian H.F., Li L. Is dry needling effective for low again ache?: a scientific evaluate and PRISMA-compliant meta-evaluation. *medication.* 2018;ninety seven:e11225. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000011225. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
58. Khan I., Ahmad A., Ahmed A., Sadiq S., Asim H.M. effects of dry needling in decrease extremity myofascial trigger points. *J. Pak. Med. Assoc.* 2021;seventy one:2596–2603. doi: 10.47391/JPMA.01398. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
59. Liu L., Huang Q.M., Liu Q.G., Thitham N., Li L.H., Ma Y.T., Zhao J.M. proof for Dry Needling within the management of Myofascial trigger points associated with Low back ache: a systematic assessment and Meta-analysis. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 2018;ninety nine:a hundred and forty four–152.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.06.008. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
60. Llurda-Almuzara L., Labata-Lezaun N., Meca-Rivera T., Navarro-Santana M.J., Cleland J.A., Fernandez-de-las-Penas C., Perez-Bellmunt A. Is Dry Needling powerful for the control of Plantar Heel ache or Plantar Fasciitis? An up to date Systematic evaluate and Meta-analysis. *ache Med.* 2021;22:1630–1641. doi: 10.1093/pm/pnab114. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
61. 61. Morihisa R., Eskew J., McNamara A., younger J. Dry needling in topics with muscular cause points within the lower area: a systematic assessment. *Int. J. sports activities Phys. Ther.* 2016;eleven:1–14. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
62. Rahou-El-Bachiri Y., Navarro-Santana M.J., Gómez-Chiguano G.F., Cleland J.A., López-de-Uralde-Villanueva I., Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C., Ortega-Santiago R., Plaza-Manzano G. effects of cause point Dry Needling for the control of Knee pain Syndromes: a scientific assessment and Meta-evaluation. *J. Clin. Med.* 2020;9:2044. doi: 10.3390/jcm9072044. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
63. Ughreja R.A., Prem V. Effectiveness of dry needling techniques in sufferers with knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.* 2021;27:328–338. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2021.02.half. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
64. Murillo C., Treleaven J., Cagnie B., Peral J., Falla D., Lluch E. effects of dry needling of the obliquus capitis inferior on sensorimotor manipulate and cervical mobility in people with neck pain: A double-blind, randomized sham-controlled trial. *Braz. J. Phys. Ther.* 2021;25:826–836. doi: 10.1016/j.bjpt.2021.07.1/2. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

65. Lafrance S., Ouellet P., Alaoui R., Roy J.S., Lewis J., Christiansen D.H., Dubois B., Langevin P., Desmeules F. Motor manage sports compared to Strengthening sports for upper- and decrease-Extremity Musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic evaluation with Meta-Analyses of Randomized managed Trials. *Phys. Ther.* 2021;101:pzab072. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzab072. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
66. Marik T.L., Roll S.C. Effectiveness of Occupational therapy Interventions for Musculoskeletal Shoulder conditions: a scientific evaluation. *Am. J. Occup Ther.* 2017;71:7101180020p1–7101180020p11. doi: 10.5014/ajot.2017.023127. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
67. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C., Nijs J. trigger point dry needling for the treatment of myofascial ache syndrome: modern views inside a ache neuroscience paradigm. *J. ache Res.* 2019;12:1899–1911. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S154728. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
68. George S.Z., Fritz J.M., Silfies S.P., Schneider M.J., Beneciuk J.M., Lentz T.A., Gilliam J.R., Hendren S., Norman ok.S. Interventions for the control of Acute and continual Low returned ache: Revision 2021. *J. Orthop. sports Phys. Ther.* 2021;51:CPG1–CPG60. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2021.0304. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
69. Blanpied P.R., Gross A.R., Elliott J.M., Devaney L.L., Clewley D., Walton D.M., Sparks C., Robertson E.ok. Neck ache: Revision 2017. *J. Orthop. sports Phys. Ther.* 2017;47:A1-a83. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2017.0302. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
70. Carville S., Constanti M., Kosky N., Stannard C., Wilkinson C. continual pain (primary and secondary) in over 16s: precis of quality steering. *BMJ.* 2021;373:n895. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n895. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
71. Arendt-Nielsen L., Graven-Nielsen T. Muscle pain: Sensory implications and interplay with motor manipulate. *Clin. J. ache.* 2008;24:291–298. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0b013e31815b608f. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
72. Braithwaite F.A., Walters J.L., Moseley G.L., Williams M.T., McEvoy M.P. a novel blinding protocol to check player and therapist blinding all through dry needling: A randomised controlled test. *Physiotherapy.* 2021;113:188–198. doi: 10.1016/j.physio.2021.08.007. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
73. Braithwaite F.A., Walters J.L., Moseley G.L., Williams M.T., McEvoy M.P. in the direction of more homogenous and rigorous strategies in sham-controlled dry needling trials: Delphi surveys. *Physiotherapy.* 2020;106:12–23. doi: 10.1016/j.physio.2019.11.004. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
74. Braithwaite F.A., Walters J.L., Li L.S.okay., Moseley G.L., Williams M.T., McEvoy M.P. Blinding techniques in Dry Needling Trials: Systematic overview and Meta-evaluation. *Phys. Ther.* 2019;ninety nine:1461–1480. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzz111. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
75. Gallego-Sendarrubias G.M., Voogt L., Arias-Buría J.L., Braithwaite F.A., Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C. consequences of previous revel in with dry needling remedy on blinding effectiveness and ache results in humans with neck ache: A initial sham-managed take a look at. *Musculoskelet. Sci. Pract.* 2022;58:102515. doi: 10.1016/j.msmsp.2022.102515. [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

